1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney
2	Office States Attorney
3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division
4	Chief, Chiliniai Division
5	TAREK J. HELOU (CABN 218225) Assistant United States Attorney
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
7	San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7071
8	Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 Tarek.J.Helou@usdoj.gov
9	Tarek.s.iteroa@asaoj.gov
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff
11	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
15	
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) CR No. 08-399 PJH
17	Plaintiff, ) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER ) EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161
18	V. )
19	JAIME SALCEDO-MENDOZA,
20	Defendant.
21	
22	On June 19, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for the defendant's
23	arraignment. At that time, the parties requested, and the Court agreed, to exclude all time under
24	the Speedy Trial Act between June 19, 2008 and July 2, 2008 because the government will
25	produce documents to defense counsel. The parties represented that granting the continuance
26	was the reasonable time necessary for preparation of defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. §
27	3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a
28	//

STIP. & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCL. TIME CR 08-399 PJH

1	continuance outweighed the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18
2	U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).
3	
4	SO STIPULATED:
5	
6	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO United States Attorney
7	
8	DATED: June 23, 2008 /s/
9	TAREK J. HELOU Assistant United States Attorney
10	
11	DATED: June 23, 2008  /s/  RONALD TYLER
12	RONALD TYLER Attorney for Defendant JAIME SALCEDO-MENDOZA
13	
14	For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that exclusion of time from June 19, 2008
15	through July 2, 2008 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh
16	the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161 (h)(8)(A).
17	The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendant effective preparation of
18	counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).
19	
20	SO ORDERED.
21	
22	DATED: THE HONORABLE NANDOR J. VADAS
23	United States Magistrate Judge
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	GTID & IDDODOGEDI ODDED EVOLUTIME